



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/326,422	06/04/1999	SHREE K. NAYAR	A32368-07005	4154
21003 75	90 04/08/2003			
BAKER & BOTTS			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, N			MOE, AUI	NG SOE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	ป
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	ı İ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/326,422

Applicant(s)

Nayar et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Aung Moe

Art Unit 2612



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	e cover she	et with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply			· ·	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no exg date of this communication.				
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the st period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and v a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ap eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this of d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will expire SIX pplication to be	(6) MONT secome AE	THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				!	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This action is	non-final.	•	!	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Out			· ·	
	ition of Çlaims			· ·	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-64</u>	_·		is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				
8) 💢	Claims 1-64				
	ation Papers			•	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are all	accept	ed or	ந் objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	g(s) be hel	ld in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	s: aD	approved by the Examine	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35	i U.S.C	;. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been			ı	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bureau (Pose the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified the certified of the priority documents.	CT Rule 1	7.2(a))).	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	•			
14)∐ a) [Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior The translation of the foreign language provisional app	•			
a,∟ 15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior				
Attachm		ity dilac.	50 0.0	.C. 33 120 dild/or 121.	
_		Interview Su	ımmary (P	PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) 💢 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)	Notice of Info	ormal Pat	ent Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🗌 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/326,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I (Figs. 1-11), Species II (Figs. 12-21) and Species III (Figs. 22-24).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/326,422 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Aung S. Moe** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-3021.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wendy Garber**, can be reach on **(703) 305-4929**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2612

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the customer service number (703) 306-0377.

A. Moe

April 7, 2003

AUNG S. MOE